

Views of the Government of the Federal Republic of Nigeria on the Visitation Report into the Affairs of the Federal University, Dutse

(2011 - 2015)

DECEMBER, 2022

PRINTED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PRINTER, LAGOS.

WHITEPAPERONTHEREPORTOFTHEVISITATIONPANELINTOTHEAFFAIRS OF THE FEDERAL UNIVERSITY, DUTSE (2011–2015)



ARRANGEMENT OF PARAGRAPHS

Paragraph.

- 1. Publication of Federal Government White Paper on the Report of the Visitation Panel into the Affairs of the Federal University, Dutse (2011–2015).
- 2. Citation.

SCHEDULE

WHITE PAPER ON THE REPORTOF THE VISITATION PANEL INTO THE AFFAIRS OF THE FEDERAL UNIVERSITY, DUTSE (2011–2015)

1. The Federal Government White Paper on the Report of the Visitation Panel into the affairs of the Federal University, Dutse (2011–2015) as provided in the schedule to this Notice is hereby published in the Federal Government Gazette.

Publication of Federal Government White Paper on the Report of the Visitation Panel into the affairs of the Federal University, Dutse (2011–2015).

2. This Notice may be cited as the "White Paper on the Report of the Visitation Panel into the affairs of the Federal University, Dutse (2011-2015)".

Citation.

INTRODUCTION

1.1. INAUGURATION OF THE VISITATION PANEL

The President, Muhammadu Buhari, GCFR, Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, in exercise of the powers conferred on him as Visitor to the Federal University, Dutse approved the constitution of a Visitation Panel to the University. Consequently, the Permanent Secretary, Arc. Sunday Echono, representing the Honourable Minister of Education, Mal. Adamu Adamu inaugurated the Panel on 13th April, 2021 at the National Universities Commission, Maitama, Abuja.

1.2. MEMBERSHIP OF THE VISITATION PANEL

Members of the Visitation Panel were:

<i>(i)</i>	Prof. Monday Y. Mangvw	at	••	••	••	••	Chairman
(ii)	Prof. Reuben Embu	••	••		••	••	M ember
(iii)	Prof. Ifeoma Mary Okwo			••	••		M ember
(iv)	Prof. (Dame) Tinuade Ibij	oke	Esthe	r Oye	yi	••	Member
(v)	Dr. Arc. Sani M. Ali					••	M ember
(vi)	Hajiya Uwani Yahya						M ember
(vii)	Matthews O. Nganjiozor		••	••			Secretary

1.3. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The Terms of Reference of the Panel were to:

- (i) inquire into the level of implementation of the white paper on the last visitation;
- (ii) look into the leadership quality of the University in terms of the roles of the Governing Council, the Vice Chancellor and other Principal Officers;
- (iii) look into the financial management of the University including statutory allocations and internally generated revenue over the recommended period, and determine whether it was in compliance with the appropriate regulations;
- (iv) investigate the application of funds, particularly the special grants and loans meant for particular projects, in order to determine the status of such projects and their relevance for further funding;
- (v) examine the adequacy of the staff and staff development programmes of the University;
- (vi) determine the relationship between the University and the various statutory bodies it interacts with according to its law for the purposes of supervision, planning, finance, discipline, guidance and any other relationship (i.e., Governing Council, NUC and the Federal Ministry of Education (FME));

- (vii) examine the "Law" establishing the University including the relationship between the various internal organs, units and offices, and indicate the ways the law has been observed by the competent authorities, and also suggest any modifications to the law;
- (viii) trace the historical evolution of the University and take stock of its net achievements and problems as well as its style and direction;
- (ix) examine the general security in the University and how the University has dealt with it, and recommend appropriate measures; and
- (x) examine the processes and structures of discipline of students in the University in line with due process of the rule of law.
- 1.4. Details of the Findings/Observations and Recommendations of the Visitation Panel, as well as the comments indicating recommendations accepted, noted or rejected by the Visitor are contained in a tabular format in sections 2 11 of this gazette. The highlights of the Visitor's directives include:
 - (i) the panel failed to address critical elements of the Terms of Reference:
 - (ii) the University Management should explore additional avenues to generate more revenue internally;
 - (iii) the University to encourage participatory budgeting and strive to achieve a balanced budget;
 - (iv) the University should restructure operations of the Guest-House, Micro Finance Bank and Bookshop;
 - (ν) the University should make more efforts to capture all the incomes from its Internally Generated Revenue (IGR);
 - (vi) the University Management should explore other avenues of generating income apart from school charges;
 - (vii) the University Management should strive to attract grants from different donor agencies and encourage staff to attract research grants for the University;
 - (viii') the University should develop an effective maintenance policy;
 - (ix) the University Management to put more effort in fully accessing the TETFund grants;
 - (x) the University Management should not exceed its budgetary provisions, especially on financial management expenses in subsequent periods;
 - (xi) the University should adhere to extant financial regulations and circulars;
 - (xii) the University should put in place standard Fixed Assets Register and re-organize its stock taking procedures;

- (xiii) Management should strengthen the University's Internal Audit Unit:
 - (xiv) the University should embrace the use of accounting software:
 - (xv) the University should reduce the cost of printing;
- (xvi) Government agencies should continue to monitor the finances of the University;
- (xvii) the Governing Council should establish a strong structure to monitor the businesses of the University;
- (xviii) the Management should implement all the recommendations of the External Auditors approved by the Council;
- (xix) Management should ensure that future buildings are constructed commensurate to the University's needs and standards;
- (xx) Management should base its choice of sites for projects on the provisions of the Master Plan;
- (xxi) Management should endeavour to secure more land from the Jigawa State Government for the University Farm;
- (xxii) the University should endeavour to create more offices to meet the needs of the growing University;
- (xxiii) a representative of Convocation should be appointed as member of Council;
- (xxiv) the University should take full advantage of its membership of the Association of Commonwealth Universities;
 - (xxv) the University should sustain the town and gown synergy; and
- (xxvi) the University should deploy contemporary security measures including collaboration with government agencies.

TERMOFREFERENCEONE

"Inquire into the level of implementation of the white paper on the last visitation."

S/No.	ltem	Observations/Findings by the V isitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
2.1.	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE WHITE PAPER ON THE LAST VISITATION		There was no White Paper because the Visitor did not constitute a Visitation Panel for the period.	

TERMOFREFERENCETWO

"Look into the leadership quality of the University in terms of the roles of the Governing Council, the Vice Chancellor and other Principal Officers."

S/No.	ltem	Observations/F indings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
3.1.	GENERAL GOVERNANCE OF THE UNIVERSITY	(a) Professor J. D. Amin was Vice-Chancellor of FUD for three years without a Governing Council. (b) The only Principal Officer of the University appointed along with the Vice-Chancellor was the Registrar. The University Bursar, Librarian, and the Deputy Vice-Chancellors were not. (c) The absence of a Governing Council in addition to an incomplete team of Principal Officers, deprived the University of the possible maximum benefits from the leadership role and governance expected of the University at its take-off stage. (d) However, the competent leadership role provided by the Vice-Chancellor made it possible for the University to take off with remarkable success.	(i) Management should ensure that substantive Principal Officers should be appointed without further delay. (ii) The pioneer Vice-Chancellor, Professor J. D. Amin should be commended for his outstanding leader-ship role at the take-off stage of the University.	Visitor notes recommenda- lions (i) and (ii).
32.	THE REGISTRAR	The Registrar, Mallam Yusuf Adamu was appointed along with the Vice-Chancellor, Prof. J. D. Amin, and they had a very good working relationship in establishing the University. This enhanced the leadership role of the young University.	The pioneer Registrar should be commended for satisfactorily discharging his role at the take-off stage.	

S/No.	<u>ltem</u>	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
3.3.	The Librarian	The Panel observed that there was no substantive Librarian for the University during the period underreview.		Visitor notes this observa- tion for up- date in subse- quent visita- tion.
3.4.	THE BURSAR	The Panel observed that there was no substantive Bursar but a Finance Officer.		Visitor notes this observa- tion for up- date in subse- quent visita- tion.

TERMOFREFERENCE THREE

"Look into the financial management of each Institution including statutory allocation and internally generated revenue over the recommended period, and determine whether it was in compliance with appropriate regulations."

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the V isitor
4.1.	THE STATE OF THE UNIVERSITY FINANCE	(a) The Panel observed that the capital grants were used to undertake many capital projects, while recurrent grants and IGR were used to pay staff salaries and for the day-to-day running of the University. (b) The University's percentage of internally generated revenue to total revenue for the period under review was small. Over-reliance on subventions from Government would not be beneficial to the University.	The University Management should explore additional avenues to generate more revenue internally.	Visitor accepts this recomm- endation.
42.	BUDGETARY PROCESS AND MANAGEMENT	(a) The Panel observed that all stake-holders in the University were sufficiently involved in the budgetary process, and information on financial approvals of the Government was well circulated. (b) Financial management of resources was generally in compliance with standard guidelines and regulations. (c) The Panel observed that evidence of the Finance and General-Purposes Committee (F&GPC) deliberations on	(i) The continuous participation of relevant stakeholders in budget preparation should be encouraged. (ii) Defence sessions involving the University community on budget proposals should continue to be held by the Management. (iii) The Management should inform the University Community when funds are released by Government to reduce misinformation on the actual releases.	Visitor accepts recommend a- tions (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv)

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings	Recommendations	Comments
D 110.	nan	by the Visitation Panel	by the Visitation Panel	by the Visitor
		the University budget was seen in the minutes of the F & GPC meetings, while that of budget considera-tion and approval was seen in the Council's minutes of meetings.	(iv) The Budget Monitoring Committee should be involved in budget control and expenditure.	
4.3.	Budget Performance 2011–2015	(a) The Panel observed that all the revenue items have negative variances. This entails actual revenues being less than the budgeted amounts. (b) The total variance on the budget in 2015 was (N-283,636,688), which was a deficit. This was not encouraging. (c) With regard to the expenditure items, i.e., staff salaries, wages and allowances, general administration expenses, and general academic expenses, the Panel observed that these items had positive variances, while the maintenance of facilities and financial management costs had negative variances.	(i) Government should endeavour to release all appropriated funds. (ii) Management should strive to achieve, at least, balanced budgets in the future. (iii) The University Management should work hard to increase its IGR base.	(i) Visitor notes recommendation (i). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendations (ii) and (iii).
4.4.	BUDGET PERFORMANCE IN RELATION TO AMOUNT APPROPRIATED AND RELEASED FOR 2011-2015	The performance of the budget in relation to the amount appropriated and amount released, showed that the Capital, Personnel and Overhead grants for 2011 to 2015 were 100 per cent, with the exception of 2014 Overhead cost which stood at 83 per cent. This was therefore commendable.	The Panel commends the Federal Government for consistent release of almost 100 per cent grants to the University.	Visitor notes this recommen- dation.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings	Recommendations	Comments
13V 1VO.	nem	by the Visitation Panel	by the Visitation Panel	by the Visitor
4.5.	INTERNALLY GENERATED REVENUE (KGR)	(a) The Panel observed that the item that dominated the University IGR was the students' registration charges which accounts forover80 per cent of IGR in 2014 and 2015. (b) It was observed that the percentage increase reduced from about 15 per cent in 2012 to about 7 per cent in 2015. (c) That more Internally Generated Revenue (IGR) could still be explored by the University.	(i) The Guest-House, Micro Finance Bank and Bookshop should be restructured to modern standards for significant revenue generation. (ii) The University should make more ef-	(i) Visitor a c c e p t s recommend a tions (i), (ii), (iii) and (v). (ii) Visitor notes recommend a- tion (iv).
4.6.	STATUTORY ALLOCATIONS (RECURRENT GRANTS)	The Panel observed that the deficit recorded in 2014 was reduced to N32,125,492 from the N76,849,743 recorded in 2013. This was due to the increase in statutory recurrent allocations.	Management should strive to attract grants from different donor agencies, and encourage staff to attract research grants for the University.	Visitor accepts this recomm- endation.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
4.7.	TETFUND RESEARCH GRANIS2011-2015	(a) Manymembersof staff of FUD have benefited from research and development funds. The result is seen in the quality of academic staff of various Departments of the University. (b) The Panel observed the need to match theory with practice so that the outcome of academic researches are geared towards improving or bringing innovation to the industries.	(i) Government is encouraged to continue to sponsor research and development to improve the quality of academic staff of the University. (ii) Government should make policies that will encourage industries to use the findings from academic research.	Visitor accepts recommendations (i) and (ii).
4.8.	TETFUND TAKE-OFF GRANTS (2011-2015)	(a) The Panel observed that a lot of grants were made to the University, and many capital projects were undertaken with them within the period under review. (b) That in spite of the large number of projects undertaken in the University, there was no abandoned project in the period under review. (c) It was also observed that apart from the drop experienced in 2013 and 2015, capital grants had been on the increase.	(i) Council to put in place a strong maintenance policy for the physical facilities of the University. (ii) Government to be commended for giving the University enough take-off grants through TETFund.	(i) Visitor accepts recommendation (i). (ii) Visitor notes recommendation (ii).
4.9.	TETFUND NORMAL INTERVENTION ALLOCATIONS (2011—2015)	(a) The Panel observed that TETFund has been consistent in the allocation and release of funds to the University. (b) The University was unable to access N159,418,894 outstanding allocations for five years.	(i) The Panel commends TETFund for the consistent financial allocations to the University. (ii) The University Management should endeavour to access all TETFund outstanding allocations.	(i) The Visitor notes recommendation (i). (ii) The Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
4.10.	TETFUND NEEDS ASSESSMENT ALLOCATIONS 2014	The Panel observed that TETFund NEEDS Assessment allocation was not fully accessed by the University.	(i) The Panel commends TETFund for the allocation of N110,000,000 to the University. (ii) That Panel urges the University Management to put more efforts in fully accessing the TETFund NEEDS Assessment grants.	(i) Visitor notes recommend a-tion (i). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).
4.11.	TETFUND SPECIAL INTERVENTION ALLOCATIONS 2011-2015	(a) The Panel observed that these interventions were used for different projects ranging from Library development, construction of buildings, research funds, Academic Staff Training and Development Fund (ASTD), etc. (b) The outstanding balance of N148, 495, 611 for special interventions in the period under review was yet to be accessed.	The University Management should endeavour to access the outstanding TETFund Special Intervention funds.	Visitor accepts this recomm- endation.
4.12.	ALUMNI AND OTHER CONTRIBUTIONS	(a) The Panel observed that there was no Alumni contribution during the period under review because the University was newly established and had no graduates yet. (b) The Panel noted with appreciation, the contributions made by the Jigawa State Government, members of the Community, individuals and groups.	(i) The University Management should appreciate the donors and encourage them to do more in future. (ii) The University should initiate action towards formation of an Alumni Association to help in the development and growth of the University.	Visitor notes recommend a- tions (i) and (ii).

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
4.13.	INCOME AND EXPENDITURE (2011-2015)	(a) The Panel observed that the financial performance of the University was not very impressive. In the statement of financial performance, the University declared a surplus of income over expenditure of N18,083,321 in 2011, but adeficit of (N138,970,932) was declared in 2012. (b) The situation improved in 2013 and 2014 as the deficit amount reduced to (N76,849,743) and (N32,125,492) respectively. (c) The condition worsened in 2015 when a deficit of (N356,521,132) was declared. This amount was more than 10 times what was declared in 2014. (d) The statement showed that recurrent grant decreased from N2,727,744,972 in 2014 to N2,126,381,882, resulting to a difference of N601,363,090. (e) There was an increase in IGR and a decrease in expenditure. (f) The University Management incurred very high financial management expenses (in areas of cost of fund, depreciation etc.) of N362,100,561, while the budgetary provision for same was N3,000,000.	(i) The University Management should not exceed its budgetary provisions, especially on financial management expenses in subsequent periods. (ii) Management should review its budgetary provision on financial management expenses to minimize waste. (iii) Council to investigate extrabudgetary expenditure and reprimand erring officers.	V isitor accepts recommendations (i), (ii) and (iii).

S/No.	ltem	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
4.14.	ADHERENCE TO STANDARD NORMS IN FUND DISTRIBUTION AND UTILIZATION	(a) The Panel observed that the University did not fully comply with the standard norms in fund utilization. (b) The University did not implement some of the recommendations made by the External Auditors and approved by the University Council	(i) The University should fully comply with relevant regulations, circulars and other relevant documents. (ii) Effective internal audit system should be put in place to reduce errors and prevent lapses. (iii) Management	Visitor accepts recommenda- tions (i), (ii) and (iii).
		versity Council.	should implement rec- ommendations of the External Auditors.	
4.15.	EXTERNAL AUDITING OF UNIVERSITY FINANCES	Panel observed that the External Audit Firm made useful observations and comments on how the University Management had properly kept the University accounts. However, the External Auditors emphasized that the following be put in place: (i) Fixed Assets Register; (ii) Undertake prompt annual external auditing; (iii) Provision and utilization of accounting so ftware; (iv) Reorganize the stock taking procedures; and (v) Reduction in the cost of printing.	(i) The Panel recommends that the University should continue to maintain proper financial management as contained in the External Auditors' report. (ii) The University should undertake regular annual external auditing of its finances. (iii) The University should also put in place standard Fixed Assets Register and re-organize its stock taking procedures. (iv) The Management should strengthen the University's Internal Audit Unit. (v) The University should embrace the use of accounting software. (vi) The University should reduce wastage.	Visitor accepts these recomm- end ations.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the V isitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
4.16.	JUDICIOUS MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL FACILITIES	The Panel observed that monitoring visits by appropriate Government Agencies greatly assisted the University in ensuring adherence to financial regulations, accountability and expenditure control.	(i) Monitoring of the University's finances and projects by the various Agencies of Government should be sustained. (ii) The NUC and other Monitoring Agencies should be commended for their supervisory roles.	(i) Visitor accepts recommendation (i). (ii) Visitor notes recommendation (ii).
4.17.	ACCOUNTING STATEMENT AND MONITORING	(a) The Panel observed that the Council, through its Finance and General Purpose Committee (F&GPC) monitors and evaluates the Accounting Statement and the financial performance of the University. This can be seen in the Council's minutes of meetings. (b) The Council appointed Project and Budget Monitoring Committee (PBMC) to work closely with the Bursary and Works Departments on project matters. (c) An external member of Council was appointed to chair the Needs Assessment Intervention Fund (NAIF) Committee, with other relevantstaff of the University as members to monitor projects.	An effective internal audit process is needed to monitor the Accounting Statement and other financial management activities of the University.	Visitor accepts this recommendation.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		(d) The Panel observed that both Committees (PBMC & NAIF) performed their duties as expected. (e) The University Council appointed qualified external accounting firms which carried out thorough evaluation of the accounting system of the University. The activities of the External Auditors complement the Accounting Statement Monitoring process.		
4.18.	EXPLORATION OF INVESTMENT AND BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES	(a) The Panel observed that the University commenced investment efforts in three lines of businesses within the periodunder review. (b) 2015 was the first year of business venture initiative, and about 77 per cent of the University investment was in FUD Micro-Finance Bank.	(i) Management should establish a strong structure to monitor its business ventures for viability. (ii) The Management should explore additional investment opportunities for increased revenue base.	Visitor accepts recommend a- tions (i) and (ii).
4.19.	FIXED ASSETS REGISTER	(i) The University did not have adequate manpower in the Bursary Department, and neither did they have enough and qualified personnel in the internal audit unit during these periods. (ii) The first substantive Bursar of the university was appointed in 2015. This affected the functionality of the University Bursary Department.	(i) All Principal Officers should be appointed as and when due. (ii) Management should maintain a Fixed Assets Register without further delay.	Visitor notes these recom- mendations.

TERM OF REFERENCE FOUR

"Investigate the application of funds, particularly the special grants and loans meant for specific projects, in order to determine the status of such projects and their relevance for further funding."

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
5.1.	STRUCTURE AND LEADERSHIP OF THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM	(a) The Panel observed that the University Management and staff complied substantially with the financial regulations and other laws in the conduct of the financial affairs of the Institution. This has improved the smooth operation of accounting system in the University. (b) However, it was observed that there were some areas in which the University failed to comply with the financial regulations. These areas were indicated, and suggestions for compliance were made by the External Auditors. (c) The Panel equally	The University Management should fully comply with the financial regulations and laws guiding the conduct of financial matters.	Visitor accepts this recomm- endation.
		observed that the University Management took note of these observations for future compliance.		
5.2.	ACCOUNTING SYSTEM	(a) The Panel observed that the University complied substantially with the generally accepted accounting principles and practices in the preparation of financial statements. (b) It was noted that a qualified accounting Firm, Messrs Kunle Egbeleke & Co. (Chartered Accountant) was	(i) Management should continue to strictly comply with the rules and regulations guiding financial management. (ii) The Management should implementall the recommendations of the External Auditors approved by the Council.	Visitor accepts recommenda- tions (i) and (ii).

Item	Observations/Findings	Recommendations	Comments
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	by the Visitation Panel	by the Visitation Panel	by the Visitor
	engaged for external au- diting. The Firm carried out detailed and thor- ough auditing.		
	(c) The Firmusually pointed out areas of deviation from laws, and made recommendations which were presented to the Council for approval.		
ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES	(a) The Panel observed that the University judiciously allocated resources to various expenditure items under capital or recurrent. (b) It was observed that in allocating resources, approval	(i) Management to becommended for the judicious use of resources. (ii) The University Management should be encouraged to continue to exercise probity, transparency and accountability in	(i) Visitor notes recommend ation (i). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).
	limits of various officers were adhered to.	funds management.	
PRUDENCE IN MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL FACILITIES AND HUMAN CAPITAL	(a) The Panel observed that the initial projects executed in the University were mainly rehabilitation of dilapidated structures at the take-off site. These included residential units, cjassroom blocks, provision of ancillary facilities, boreholes, water tanks and reticulation system. These projects had direct bearing on the initial take-off of the University. (b) The soil of the permanent site was clayey in nature, therefore the foundations of the buildings had to be	(i) Management should ensure that future buildings are constructed to commensurate to the University's needs and standards. (ii) Management should base its choice of sites for projects on the Master Plan, so as to guarantee safety and convenience in the use of such facilities. (iii) Management should secure more land from the Jigawa State Government for	Visitor accepts recommenda- tions (i), (ii) and (iii).
	PRUDENCE IN MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL FACILITIES	diting. The Firm carried out detailed and thorough auditing. (c) The Firmusually pointed out areas of deviation from laws, and made recommendations which were presented to the Council for approval. ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES (a) The Panel observed that the University judiciously allocated resources to various expenditure items under capital or recurrent. (b) It was observed that in allocating resources, approval limits of various officers were adhered to. PRUDENCE IN MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL FACILITIES AND HUMAN CAPITAL (a) The Panel observed that the initial projects executed in the University were mainly rehabilitation of dilapidated structures at the take-off site. These included residential units, cjassroom blocks, provision of ancillary facilities, boreholes, water tanks and reticulation system. These projects had direct bearing on the initial take-off of the University. (b) The soil of the permanent site was clayey in nature, therefore	diting. The Firm carried out detailed and thorough auditing. (c) The Firmusually pointed out areas of deviation from laws, and made recommendations which were presented to the Council for approval. ALLOCATION OF RESOURCES (a) The Panel observed that the University judiciously allocated resources to various expenditure items under capital or recurrent. (b) It was observed that in allocating resources, approval limits of various officers were adhered to. PRUDENCE IN MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF PHYSICAL FACILITIES AND HUMAN CAPITAL AND HUMAN CAPITAL Claused residential units, classroom blocks, provision of ancillary facilities, boreholes, water tanks and reticulation system. These projects had direct bearing on the initial take-off of the University. (b) The soil of the permanent site was clayey in nature, therefore the foundations of the buildings had to be

C/A7	7	01 /5: 11	7 .·	B 23
S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the V isitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
	·	expansion and contraction characterized by clayey soil. (c) Fromtherecords of the various monitoring agencies and the outcome of the inspection of some facilities by the Panel, it was noted that there was substantial prudence in management and judicious use of available resources of the University.		
5.5.	PROCESS OF CONTRACT AWARDS	(a) The Panel observed that the management of the finances of the institution has been in line with the financial regulations. (b) The award of contracts was done in accordance with the Procurement Act, 2007 and its revised thresholds.	(i) Management to be commended for adhering to financial regulations in the discharge of its responsibilities. (ii) Management to be commended for awarding contracts in accordance with the Procurement Act, 2007.	Visitor notes recommend a- tions (i) and (ii).
5.6.	QUALITY OF CONTRACTS AND CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE	(a) The Panel noted with satisfaction the contributions made by TETFund in the development of the University's infrastructure. (b) It was observed that not all TETFund allocated funds were accessed by the University.	(i) Management should strictly follow the provisions of the Procurement Act 2007 in the award of contracts. (ii) The University should strive further to access all allocated funds to it, especially by TETFund.	_

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
5.7.	SUSTAINABILITY OF COMPLETED PROJECTS	(a) The Panel observed from available records, that the yearly Overhead costs allocated and released to the University by the Federal Government were grossly inadequate. (b) Additionally, within the period under review, the internally generated revenue (IGR) was equally not enough to offset the cost of utility bills of the University. Consequently, there were no additional funds to cater to the maintenance of buildings. (c) TETFund allocated only NI0,000,000.00 annually for the maintenance of TETFund funded buildings. This was greatly inadequate given the number of buildings constructed with TETFund funds.	(i) Federal Government should increase the annual overhead allocation to the University. (ii) The University should explore more avenues to raise the level of its IGR. (iii) TETFund should be requested to review upward its allocations for the maintenance of its buildings in the University.	(i) Visitor notes recommend a-tions (i) and (iii). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).

TERMOFREFERENCEFIVE

"Examine the adequacy of the staff and staff development programmes of the University."

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
6.0.	ACADEMIC AND Non-ACADEMIC STAFF	(a) The Panel observed that there was no employment of junior staff in 2011.	Management should keep proper records of its Staff Nominal Roll.	Visitor accepts this recomm- endation.
		(b) The University engaged 13 VisitingAppointments, 26 Sabbatical, and 8 Contract staff within the period under review to strengthen the capacity of the regular workforce.	·	
		(c) The Panel also observed some gaps in the nominal roll of staff in terms of documentation.		
6.2.	Staff Disposition/ Adequacy	(a) The Panel observed that the University adhered substantially to the NUC benchmark in all their programmes.	Management should correct and codify records of staff within the period under review for future use.	Visitor notes this recomm- endation.
	·	(b) The University had a good composition of staff disposition ranging from Professors, senior lecturers, and younger academics as well as senior and junior non-academic staff. (c) As a new University, recruitment of staff	·	
63.	STAFF DEVELOPMENT/ TRAINING	was an ongoing process. (a) The Panel observed that the University has benefitted from TETFund Fellowship and training of junior	Management should continue to embark on more training as well as participation in conferences for all	Visitor accepts this recomm- endation.
_		academics within the period under review.	categories of staff.	

S/No.	· Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		(b) It was observed that both teaching and non-teaching staff benefitted from conference attendance.		
6.4.	SPONSORSHIP OF STAFF UNDER LINKAGE PROGRAMMES TO OTHER INSTITUTIONS FOR GENERAL EXPOSURE TO TEACHING AND RESEARCHBY ATTENDING CONFERENCES (LOCAL AND OVERSEAS)	The Panel observed that the Management trained its staff in the period under review for various conferences and higher degree programmes.	(i) Management is to be commended for its staff training and development programmes. (ii) Management should do more in the area of staff development when funds are made available.	(i) Visitor notes recommendation (i). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).
6.5.	STAFF JOB DESCRIPTION	The Panel observed that the University operated appropriately based on the Acts establishing it, and with relevant extant regulations which were reviewed periodically to meet global best practices.	Management is commended for complying with the University's Establishment Act.	V isitor notes this recommenda- tion.
6.6.	Staff Promotions	The Panel observed that the University Management carried out massive staff promotion for all categories of staff in the year 2014 and 2015.	University is commended for promptly and timely addressing staff promotion issues within the brief period of its establishment.	Visitor notes this recommenda- tion.
6.7.	STAFF SALARIES AND ALLOWANCES	The Panel observed that the University did not receive any personnel cost in 2011 and the reason is, the Institution was just taking off. But from 2012, personnel cost implementation started.	Federal Govern- ment is commended for prompt release of personnel cost to the University in the pe- riod under review.	Visitor notes this recommenda- tion.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
6.8/6.9	STAFF ACCOMMODATION STAFF OFFICES AND TEACHING FACILITIES	(a) The Panel observed that the University had offices for both academic and non-academic staff and these are spread according to the units. Administratively, the principal officers and administrative staff were virtually housed in the same block, while faculty offices were in the various Faculties, housing Departments and lecture rooms. (b) The Panel observed that the space used as Senate chambers is not enough to accommodate Senate members.	(i) Management to endeavour to create more offices to meet the need of the growing University. (ii) Management should erect a befitting Senate building for the University.	Visitor accepts recommend a- tions (i) and (ii).

TERMOFREFERENCESIX

"Determine the relationship between the University and the various statutory bodies it interacts with according to its law for the purposes of supervision, planning, finance, discipline, guidance and any other relationship [i.e. Governing Council, NUC and the Federal Ministry of Education]."

S/No.	Item	Observations/F indings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
7.1.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE UNIVERSITY AND THE VARIOUS STATUTORY BODIES	(a) The Panel observed that the Governing Council and University Management had done well in handling the affairs of the Institution. (b) The relationship between the University and the State Government, the Nigeria Police Force, the Directorate of State Security (DSS) as well as the Host Community and the Traditional Institution had been most beneficial to the Institution. This was evident in the extra attention and facilitation of various activities that enhanced the general wellbeing and development of the University.	Management should be commended for their doggedness and dedication to lay the solid foundation for subsequent development.	Visitor notes this recommendation.

TERMOFREFERENCESEVEN

"Examine the "Law" establishing the University including the relationship between the various internal organs, units and offices, and indicate the ways the law has been observed by the competent authorities, and also suggest any modifications to the law."

S/No.	Îtem	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the V isitor
8.1.	GOVERNANCE	(a) The Panel observed that during the period under review, the overall administration and management of the Federal University, Dutse was not in accordance with the law establishing the University.	(i) The University should have a Governing Council as and when due. (ii) The University Management should be commended for a job well done.	Visitor notes recommend a- tions (i) and (ii).
		(b) Although the University received its Charter in 2011, it did not have a Governing Council until 2015. The overall administration and management of the institution was relegated to a hierarchy of Interim Management Committees namely: National Implementation Committee, the Committee of Twelve and Procurement Committee.		
		(c) The University did not have a Governing Council for the first 4 years of its existence. This was contrary to Section 12 (1) of the Law establishing the University.		
		(d) The Federal Character principle was observed in the appointment of members of the University Governing Council when it was eventually constituted.		

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		(e) The Panel observed that in spite of its handicap, the Management at the time worked assiduously and performed credibly well in setting a solid foundation for the new University.		
82.	GOVERNING COUNCIL COMPOSITION	(a) The Panel noted that during the period under review, the University was administered by a 16-member Governing Council headed by Prof Taoheed A. Adedoja, with the Registrar as Secretary in 2015. (b) Although one representative of Convocation was stipulated as a member of the Council, none was present at any of the Council meetings held. (c) From 2015 to the end of its tenure, the Governing Council and Management actively implement actively implement of staff and programmes. The period witnessed massive recruitment of staff and ratification of those done prior to the constitution of the Council. (d) Capacity building was given adequate attention.	(i) Council to be commended for the great progress recorded in the period under review. (ii) A representative of Convocation should be appointed as member of Council.	(i) Visitor notes recommend ation (i). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		(e) The Governing Council demonstrated good leadership in steering the affairs of the University.		
	·	(f) The Panel noted that the Council was dissolved after only one year of its existence.		
8.3.	SENATE COMPOSITION	(a) The Panel noted that the composition of Senate was not as prescribed in the University Establishment Act.	(i) Senate is to be commended for the great strides achieved during the period under review.	Visitor notes recommenda- tions (i) and (ii).
		(b) From February 2011-2015, the Senate was headed by Professor J. D.Amin, OFRand was composed of the Deans of the three new Faculties, Dean of Students' Affairs, the Acting Heads of the five Departments on ground with the Registrar as Secretary. There were no Deputy Vice Chancellors, Bursar or University Librarian. (c) Under their leadership, the Federal University, Dutse started the journey and made steady progress in its efforts to attain great heights.	(ii) Council to ensure that the Senate is fully constituted.	
8.4.	Quality of Service Delivery in Teaching, Research and Community Service	(a) Documents submitted to the Panel showed remarkable academic and infrastructural development during the period under review.	(i) Management should be commended for the great effort made to set the University on a good foundation.	Visitor notes these recomm- endations.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings	Recommendations	Comments
		by the Visitation Panel	by the V isitation Panel	by the Visitor
		(b) The University had three Faculties at inception, but grew to five Faculties by 2015. (c) The student population rose from 205 in 2011/2012 session to 2,596 in the 2014/2015 session.	(ii) Management to sustain its commitment towards the overall development of the Institution.	
		(d) The University successfully graduated its first set of students in 2015.		
		(e) The smooth running of each session and the successful graduation of students, indicate a working environment that was conducive for teaching and learning.		
8.5.	RESEARCH	(a) The Panel observed that from 2012-2015, several junioracademic staff were sponsored by the University for Post-graduate studies, both locally and internationally. (b) TETFund gave the University N10m for staff training during the period under review. (c) The Faculty of Agriculture Farm was established for teaching and learning in the University. (d) The Research and Senate Publication Unit which was in charge of the Institutional Based Research (IBR) and Academic	(i) Managementto encourage academic staff to conduct more researches in their respective areas of specialization. (ii) The University should explore and establish relationships with local and International Donor Agencies, and philanthropic organizations for support. (iii) The University should leverage on its membership of the Association of Commonwealth Universities to train staff, and attract senior academics on short visit, sabbatical or full-time appointment.	Visitor accepts recommend ations (i), (i) and (iii).

- C- (T 6: /=. !!	r	
S/No.	<u>ltem</u>	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		Book Manuscript Develop-ment (ABMD) TETFund Intervention, was in place.	by the visitation I drie:	by the Paston
		(e) The Panel observed that no research proposals were received and endorsed for TETFund Research Grant by the Research and Senate Publication Unit during the period under review to take advantage of the 2015 grant of N15m that was available for that purpose.		
8.6.	COMMUNITY SERVICE	(a) The Panel noted the effort of the University in rendering Community services to the immediate Community, and more especially, the establishment of the Women Literacy Centres at Kamaye and Bakin Jeji villages; and the University Staff School.	(i) The University should maintain and strengthen its cordial relationship with the host Community. (ii) The University Management should encourage the activities of various partnerships already in place.	Visitor accepts recommenda- tions (i) and (ii).
		(b) The University made available its health facilities to members of the Community.		
8.7.	DETERMINATION OF THE EXTENT OF ADHERENCE TO REGULATION AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS	(a) The Panel observed that the University had not complied satisfactorily with relevant regulations and statutory provisions, in the achievement of its mandate. (b) The Autonomy of the University and the University Governing Council provided for in	Government should review the University Act to be in tandem with the Procurement Act 2007.	Visitor rejects this recommendation and directs the University to ensure strict compliance with the Procurement Act (2007).

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		Sections 12 and 13 of the University laws have not been fully respected by the Government.		
		(c) Theduediligence process (Procurement Act, 2007) caused the University Administration to set up a separate procurement process against the Council appointed Finance and General-Purpose Committee (F&GPC) and Tenders Committee. By the autonomy law of the University, the Council Chairman and these Committees have the responsibility for procurement in the University.		
8.8.	THE QUALITY OF THE RELATIONSHIP AMONGST THE PRINCIPAL OFFICERS	It was observed that the Vice Chancellor car- ried along the few Prin- cipal Officers in most of the decision-making process of the Univer- sity. This is an act of qualitative leadership of the University Man- agement.	(i) The amicable relationship that exists amongst the organs of governance of the University should continue. (ii) Management be commended for the peaceful atmosphere in the University.	Visitor accepts recommend a-tion (i). Visitor notes recommend ation (ii).
8.9.	RELATIONSHIP WITH STUDENTS	(a) The relationship between Management and students was cordial, peaceful and without cases of unrest. (b) The University environment had been cult-free as efforts were made to nip in the bud, any attempt to introduce the menace on the University Campus.	(i) The University Management should sustain its efforts in maintaining a cordial relationship with its students. (ii) Management should explore the possibility of building additional Students' halls of residence. (iii) The efforts of the Management in	Visitor notes recommend a- tions (i), (ii) and (iii).

S/No.	<u>ltem</u>	Observations/Findings by the V isitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
			maintaining a cult- free environment should be sustained.	
8.10.	NATURE OF RELATIONSHIP WITH THE INSTITUTION'S UNIONS	The relationship between Management and the Unions in the University seemed harmonious and satisfactory.	Management should work towards consolidating the existing cordial relationship with various Unions in the University.	this recomm-

TERMOFREFERENCEEIGHT

"Trace the historical evolution of the University and take stock of its net achievements and problems as well as its style and direction."

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
9.1.	HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF THE UNIVERSITY	(a) The Panel observed great strides recorded by Management under the leadership of the Vice Chancellor. (b) There was a good synergy between the University and the Community.	(i) Management should be commended for the giant strides recorded in the development of the University. (ii) Management to sustain the town and gown synergy.	(i) Visitor notes recommendation (i). (ii) Visitor accepts recommendation (ii).

TERM OFREFERENCENINE

"Examine the general security in the University and how the University has dealt with it, and recommend appropriate measures."

S/No.	. Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
10.1.	GENERAL SECURITY IN THE UNIVERSITY	(a) The University had a robust security system in place. (b) The Security Division needed constant training and retraining. (c) Dearth of equipment for its operations. (d) Need for more personnel to effectively man human and material resources.	(i) Management should recruit more security personnel (ii) Management should ensure a more digitalized, vibrant and functional security system. (iii) Management should sustain the cordial working relationship with security agencies in the state. (iv) Management to ensure regular training and retraining of Security Personnel and provision of befitting offices and equipment. (v) Management to prioritize the construction of the perimeter fence to forestall insecurity, especially trespass by human beings and stray animals.	Visitor accepts recommenda-lions (i), (ii), (iv) and (v).

TERMOFREFERENCETEN...

"Examine the processes and structures of discipline of students in the University in line with due process of the rule of law."

S/Na.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
11.1.	PROCESSES AND STRUCTURES OF DISCIPLINE OF STUDENTS IN THE UNIVERSITY	(a) The Panel observed that despite all provisions, the University had not formally constituted a Disciplinary Committee to manage the student population and the dispensation of justice. (b) From the records made available to the Panel, there were no reports on disciplinary cases in the University during the period under review. (c) The Security Unit of the University is omitted in the membership of the adhoc Disciplinary Committee.	(i) Management to immediately constitute the Disciplinary Committee of the University. (ii) Management to include the Security Unit in the membership of the Disciplinary Committee.	Visitor accepts recommendation (i). Visitor notes recommendation (ii).
11.2.	Servicom	(a) The Panel observed that the SERVICOM Unit, in Federal University, Dutse was placed directly under the office of the Vice-Chancellor, and given the responsibility of checking as well as ensuring the quality and effective service delivery in every aspect of the University. (b) In the period under review, the Unit was headed by an Assistant Registrar who was also the Focal Officer.	Management to be commended for setting and sustaining the SERVICOM Unit in the University.	Visitor notes this recommen- dation.

S/No.	Item	Observations/Findings by the Visitation Panel	Recommendations by the Visitation Panel	Comments by the Visitor
		(c) The Unit recorded broad activities in the 2014/2015 session when it was formally inaugurated.		

Dated at Abuja this 20th day of December, 2022.

Muhammadu Buhari, gcfr President, Federal Republic of Nigeria